

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,599	11/13/2003	Klaus Doelle	VOI0197.CON 9008 EXAMINER		
7	590 09/23/2004				
Todd T. Taylor			CHIN, PETER		
TAYLOR & AUST, P.C. 142 S. Main St.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 560			1731		
Avilla, IN 46	710		DATE MAILED: 09/23/200	DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	T.P			
Office Action Summary		10/712,599	DOELLE, KLAUS				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Peter Chin	1731				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ju</u>	ılv 2004.					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
′—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
. ,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application	•					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		Examiner.				
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
-	·	anionity under 25 11 0 0 -0 440/-) (d) or (f)				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	-				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		ed in this National Stage				
* (application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list		nd.				
·	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	5u.				
Attach	t(c)						
Attachmen	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/712,599 Page 2

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-4 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,673,211. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claimed gap falls within and obviously within the broader gap range claimed in the patent.

- 2. Claims 5-23 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-32 and 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,413,365 and 6,458,241. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of an obvious difference in scope; the present claims are open to the additional steps recited in the patents.
- 3. Claims 5-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klungness et al (Re 35,460).

The claims are rejected for the reasons given in the previous Office Action, mailed 3/30/2004.

4. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are deemed unpersuasive of patentability.

The argument that the present application is a continuation of the application that mature into the 6,673,211 and that application that matured into 6,413,365 has the same filing date as the present application. However, possible term extensions can affect the date on which the patent expires. Therefore, the rejection is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,599

Art Unit: 1731

The claimed gap and rotational speed are considered obvious optimization of the gap between the rotor and stator and operating speed of the rotor in order to achieve proper reaction and formation of the filler-fiber composite.

It is urged that Klungness requires high shear in that the pulp crumbs must be sheared in order to shred the pulp crumbs between the stationary disc and revolving disc. There is no limitation in the claim of any specific range of shear that the present invention operates within. There is inherently some degree of shear present during the mixing of the fiber suspension in the present invention.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Chin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1186. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

Art Unit: 1731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter Chin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731